

**Note** The due date for this assignment is **Friday** 12/6, so that it will not be due too soon after the midterm and break. However, the last problem set will be due soon after, on Wednesday 12/11 (the last day of class). It will be posted by Wednesday 12/4. So I would recommend doing as much of this one as possible by Wednesday to leave time to move on to the next.

### Written problems:

1. This problem explores the reasons why the primes  $p$  and  $q$  in DSA can be chosen to have somewhat different sizes.

Suppose that  $p, q, g$  are DSA public parameters (i.e.  $p, q$  are primes, and  $g$  has order  $q$  modulo  $p$ ), and  $A \equiv g^a \pmod{p}$  is Samantha's public (verification) key, while  $a$  is her private (signing) key. As we discussed in class, there are two main sorts of algorithms that Eve might use to extract  $a$  from  $A$ : collision algorithms (whose runtime depends on  $q$ ), and the number field sieve (whose runtime depends on  $p$ ). For simplicity, assume that Eve has a collision algorithm that can extract  $a$  in  $\sqrt{q}$  steps, and an implementation of the number field sieve (a state of the art DLP algorithm; you do not need to know any details about it, but the textbook has a good overview) that can extract  $a$  in  $e^{2(\ln p)^{1/3}(\ln \ln p)^{2/3}}$  steps (the true runtimes would involve a constant factor that would depend on implementation, and various other factors depending on the cost of arithmetic modulo  $p$  and of finding collisions).

- (a) Suppose that Samantha is confident that her private key will be safe as long as Eve does not have time to perform more than  $2^{64}$  steps in either algorithm. How many bits long should she choose  $p$  to be? How many bits long should  $q$  be?
- (b) What if she instead wants to be safe as long as Eve doesn't have time for  $2^{128}$  steps?
- (c) The NSA's recommendation for "Top Secret" government communications is to use 3072 bit values of  $p$ , and 384 bit values of  $q$ . How does this compare to your answers above? If the difference is significant, what might explain the discrepancy?

For parts (a) and (b), it is sufficient to write a short script to find the minimum safe numbers of bits by trial and error (there are more efficient ways, of course).

2. Textbook exercise 6.1 (Elliptic curve arithmetic over  $\mathbb{R}$ )
3. Textbook exercise 6.5, parts (a) and (b) (Listing the points of an EC over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ )

*Hint.* You can save some time by making two lists in advance: values of  $y^2$  for various  $y$  and values of  $x^3 + Ax + B$  for various values of  $x$ , then checking for numbers occurring in both lists)

4. Textbook exercise 6.6(a) (addition table for an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ )

### Programming problems:

1. Write a function `ecAdd(P,Q,A,B,p)` to compute the sum  $P \oplus Q$  of two points on the Elliptic Curve over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $Y^2 \equiv X^3 + AX + B \pmod{p}$ . You may assume that  $P$  and  $Q$  are both valid points on the curve<sup>1</sup>. The points  $P$  and  $Q$  will be either pairs  $(x, y)$  of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , or the integer 0 (as a stand-in for the point  $\mathcal{O}$  at infinity), and the function should return the result in the same format.

<sup>1</sup>Though of course if you were using this code in real life, you should add some error handling that checks this.

2. Write a function `ecMult(n,P,A,B,p)` that computes an integer multiple  $n \cdot P$  of a point  $P$  on an elliptic curve  $Y^2 \equiv X^3 + AX + B \pmod{p}$ . Points will be formatted  $(x,y)$ , with  $0 \leq x, y < p$ , while the point at infinity should be denoted simply as 0. Your code will need to be able to scale to very large values of  $n$ ; I suggest adapting the fast-powering algorithm from modular arithmetic to elliptic curves.